



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,867	04/12/2001	Shunpei Yamazaki	740756-2294	1394
31780	7590	07/30/2008	EXAMINER	
ERIC ROBINSON			LEWIS, MONICA	
PMB 955			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21010 SOUTHBANK ST.				2894
POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/832,867	YAMAZAKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Monica Lewis	<b>Art Unit</b> 2822

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Monica Lewis. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Robert Pilaud. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4-10,13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shohara (U.S. Patent No. 6,238,754), Yamazaki (U.S. Patent No. 6,909,114) and Yamazaki (U.S. Patent No. 5,990,542).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Robert Pilaud wanted to know the relationship of figure 2 and figure 12 in Yamazaki (U.S. 6,909,114). Additionally, Robert Pilaud argued that the resin in Yamazaki (U.S. 6,909,114) does not have the same function as the resin in Shohara. .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Monica Lewis/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2822

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.